

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

In the Office Action dated February 2, 2005, the Examiner has objected to the Drawings/Specification for failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5), and objected to the Abstract as well as Claim 5 for certain informalities. Further, the Examiner has rejected Claims 1 and 9(8?) under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), and has rejected Claims 2, 5, and 7 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). The Examiner has, however, kindly indicated that Claims 3, 4, 6, 9, and 10 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

By this paper, the specification (on page 3) has been amended to now include specific reference to “part 2”. The Abstract and Claim 5 have been amended to cure the noted informalities. Furthermore, Claims 1, 4, 8, and 10 have been amended to more particularly point out that which the Applicants regard as the invention by including the allowable subject matter of Claim 3 (now cancelled) with Claim 1, and the allowable subject matter of Claim 9 (now cancelled) with Claim 8. Claims 3 and 9 have been cancelled without prejudice. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that amended Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10, which are the claims remaining in this Application are now allowable.

Applicants are not aware of any additional patents, publications, or other information not previously submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office which would be required under 37 C.F.R. §1.99.

This Application is now believed to be in condition for favorable reconsideration and early allowance, and such actions are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Lawrence P. Kessler  
Registration No. 24,637

LPK:cvn  
Attachment(s)  
Rochester, NY 14650-2201  
Telephone No. (585) 253-0123  
Facsimile No. (585) 477-4646